United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.) Case No. 5:16-CR-180-1BO
JOSE VASQUEZ-HERNANDEZ) Case No. 5.10-CK-180-1BO
Defendant)
DETENTION OR	RDER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the B require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Findings of Fact
\Box (1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local of	fense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or m	C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) nore.
☐ an offense for which the maximum senter	nce is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison to	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses C), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	but involves:
☐ a minor victim	
\Box the possession or use of a firearm or \Box	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comme federal, state release or local offense.	mitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sir	nce the date of conviction the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in findin	g (1).
	ble presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety or find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternati	ive Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the def	fendant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	years or more is prescribed in
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption the defendant's appearance and the safety of	on established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the community.
Alterna	ative Findings (B)
$ \mathbf{Y}(1) $ There is a serious risk that the defendant will	l not appear.
./	l endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	t of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submit	tted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
	ence that etention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car lant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
	or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably
assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of and	other person or the community. The lack of stable employment
The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's case	The lack of a suitable custodian
The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
	The history of probation revocations
Other:	
Part III—Direct	tions Regarding Detention
in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicab pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reas	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement sole, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody sonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility for a court appearance.
Date: August 4, 2016	Robert T Numbers II. Judge's signature
	Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title